



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,403	08/28/2003	Hugo Cheung	TI-32740.I	6534
23494	7590	06/23/2006	EXAMINER	
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265				NGUYEN, TANH Q
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
		2182		

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/650,403	CHEUNG, HUGO	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Tanh Q. Nguyen	2182	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 April 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                            2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) Claim(s) 15-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 15-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for “receiving and storing the new data in a receive shift register in a location of said buffer designated by a **shift pointer**” [page 12, ll. 12-20; FIG. 4], **does not** reasonably provide enablement for “receiving and storing the new data in a receive shift register in a location of said buffer designated by a **read pointer**”. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Page 12, ll. 14-16 discloses “The RdPtr pointer comprises the location of the FIFO buffer 400 that the CPU will read from during data transmission, and is configured to increment after the CPU reads from the SPI data register” while page 16, ll. 7-10 discloses “a new byte of data can be suitably received and stored in the receive shift register 304, i.e., stored in the location of FIFO buffer 400 designated by the RdPtr pointer”. It appears that the disclosure on page 16, ll. 7-10 contradicts the disclosure on page 12, ll. 14-16, FIG. 4, and the context of the invention. Furthermore, the disclosure only provides support for the read pointer being the RdPtr pointer, and does not provide support for read pointer to mean the ShfPtr pointer [FIG. 4].

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thomsen et al. (US 5,278,956) in view of Yasoshima (US 2002/0078317).

5. As per claims 15, Thomsen discloses an apparatus - hence a high performance buffering technique for use with a serial peripheral interface [FIG. 4; col. 6, ll. 3-19] to facilitate high data rates, said buffering technique comprising the steps of:

initializing a transmitter FIFO [18, FIG. 4] by writing data to a data register [writing data to location designated by write pointer of transmitter FIFO; col. 2, l. 66-col. 3, l. 23];

performing a transmit buffering sequence to prepare for the transmitting of the data [incrementing a write pointer of the transmitter FIFO and incrementing a counter representing the number of bytes in the transmitter FIFO [col. 2, l. 66-col. 3, l. 23]];

performing a transmit and receive shifting sequence to facilitate transmitting of the data and receiving of new data at substantially the same time [reading data from a location in the transmitter FIFO designated by a read pointer of the transmitter FIFO; writing the data to a transmit shift register [20, FIG. 4]; shifting of the transmit shift register [to communication station 17, FIG. 4]; and receiving and storing new data in a receive shift register [16, FIG. 4] in a location of a receiver FIFO [12, FIG. 4] designated

by a write pointer of the receiver FIFO [FIG. 4; col. 2, l. 66-col. 3, l. 23; col. 6, ll. 3-19] - the architecture of FIG. 4 with transmitter and receiver shift registers and transmitter and receiver FIFOs allows full duplex communication, hence facilitates transmitting of data from transmitter FIFO and receiving of new data by the receiver FIFO at substantially the same time]; and

performing a receive buffering sequence to prepare for the receipt of additional new data [incrementing a write pointer of the receiver FIFO to identify a new location for receiving data; and incrementing a counter to indicate that new data has been received [col. 2, l. 66-col. 3, l. 23]].

Thomsen, therefore, discloses the invention except for the transceiver FIFO and the receiver FIFO being arranged within a single buffer.

Yasoshima discloses arranging multiple FIFOs within a single buffer to accommodate applications processing distinct data segments and maximize the utilization of memory [[0006], ll. 1-4].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to arrange the transceiver FIFO and the receiver FIFO within a single buffer, as is taught by Yasoshima, because such arrangement would accommodate applications processing distinct data segments (as data transmitted by the CPU and data read by the CPU represent distinct data segments) and maximize the utilization of memory.

6. As per claims 16-17, Thomsen discloses writing the data into a location of said buffer as designated by a write pointer [col. 2, l. 66-col. 3, l. 23]; and incrementing a

write pointer to prevent a next byte to be transmitted from overwriting a previous written byte; and incrementing a write shift counter to facilitate tracking of a number of bytes available for transmission [col. 2, l. 66-col. 3, l. 23].

7. As per claims 18-19, Thomsen discloses reading the data from a location in the buffer designated by a read pointer of transmitter FIFO (i.e. a shift pointer - as explained below); writing the data to a transmit shift register; shifting of the transmit shift register; and receiving and storing the new data in a receive shift register in a location of said buffer designated by a write pointer of the receiver FIFO (i.e. a read pointer of the transmitter FIFO or the shift pointer - as explained below); incrementing a write pointer of receiver FIFO (i.e. a shift pointer - as explained below) to identify a new location in the buffer for receiving data; and incrementing a counter to indicate that the new data has been received [col. 2, l. 66-col. 3, l. 23]. Yasoshima discloses the read pointer of the transmitter FIFO functioning as a boundary for the receiver FIFO [FIG. 2(b); [0009]], hence a shift pointer (as the read pointer of the transmitter FIFO and the write pointer of the receiver FIFO will be at the same location after shifting of the shift registers).

8. As per claim 20, the combination of Thomsen and Yasoshima does not teach interrupting a CPU if the data is ready for transmitting and said buffer is approximately full, and interrupting the CPU if said buffer is ready to receive data and said buffer is approximately empty. Since it was known in the art the time the invention was made to interrupt a CPU when data is ready for transmitting and the buffer is approximately full to prevent buffer overflow, and to interrupt the CPU when the buffer is ready to receive data and the buffer is approximately empty to prevent buffer underflow, it would have

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate such interruptions in order to prevent buffer overflow or buffer underflow.

### ***Response to Arguments***

9. Applicant's arguments filed April 13, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued that the references of record does not show, teach or suggest the limitations "...initializing a single buffer to act as transmitter and receiver by writing data to a data register;...performing a transmit and receive shifting sequence to facilitate transmitting of the data and receiving of new data at substantially the same time...". Applicant's argument is not persuasive because Thomsen was relied upon to teach performing a transmit and receive shifting sequence to facilitate transmitting of the data and receiving of new data at substantially the same time, and Yasoshima was relied upon to teach initializing a single buffer to act as transmitter and receiver by writing data to a data register (see rejection of claim 15 above).

Applicant further argued that Yasoshima does not disclose a single buffer to facilitate transmitting and receiving at substantially the same time. Applicant's argument is not persuasive because Thomsen and Yasoshima were relied upon to teach a single buffer to facilitate transmitting and receiving at substantially the same time - not Yasoshima alone. One cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091,

231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Applicant's arguments are further not persuasive because "substantially" is a relative term that is not defined by the claims, and "at substantially the same time" does not have the same meaning as "at the same time".

### ***Conclusion***

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tanh Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4154. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30AM-7:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Huynh can be reached on 571-272-4147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Amy A. Carl  
June 14, 2006